

TABLE 1

Percentage of family planning clinics, according to clinic characteristics, by service focus, Title X funding status and clinic type, 2010 and 2015

Clinic characteristics	2010	2015								
	All clinics	All clinics	Service focus		Title X funding		Type			
			Reproductive health	Primary care	Yes	No	Health dept.	Planned Parenthood	FQHC	Other
Focuses on reproductive health	50	44 ‡	100	0	72	21 †	79	100 ^h	7 ^{h,p}	53 ^{h,p,f}
Receives Title X funding	52	45 ‡	74	22 *	100	0	86	69 ^h	17 ^{h,p}	37 ^{h,p,f}
Located in state with any Medicaid (full-benefit or family planning-specific) expansion	na	82	84	81	83	82	79	86	85	81
Contraceptive client caseload per week										
<20	34	47 ‡	29	61 *	34	58 †	43	8 ^h	57 ^{h,p}	47 ^{p,f}
20–49	34	28 ‡	33	24 *	32	26	33	26	26	29
50+	32	24 ‡	37	14 *	34	17 †	24	66 ^h	17 ^{h,p}	24 ^p
Same-day appointments available	39	52 ‡	46	56 *	48	55	42	62 ^h	58 ^h	48
Average no. of days to wait for appointment	5.4	3.1 ‡	3.7	2.7 *	3.1	3.2	4.1	1.2 ^h	2.5 ^{h,p}	3.9 ^{p,f}
Extended office hours available	39	42	34	49 *	38	46 †	18	78 ^h	57 ^{h,p}	29 ^{h,p,f}
Average total hours per week	na	38.7	33.7	42.7 *	35.4	41.4 †	33.3	36.6	44.5 ^{h,p}	34.5 ^f

‡Difference between 2010 and 2015 significant at $p < .05$. *Difference in service focus significant at $p < .05$. †Difference in Title X funding significant at $p < .05$. ^h=comparison with health department significant at $p < .05$. ^p=comparison with Planned Parenthood significant at $p < .05$. ^f=comparison with FQHC significant at $p < .05$. *Note:* na=not available.

TABLE 2

Percentage of family planning clinics offering specific contraceptive methods, by service focus, Title X funding status and clinic type, 2003, 2010 and 2015

Method availability	2003	2010	2015								
	All clinics	All clinics	All clinics	Service focus		Title X funding		Type			
				Reproductive health	Primary care	Yes	No	Health dept.	Planned Parenthood	FQHC	Other
Reversible methods											
Combined hormonal pills	100	96 ‡‡	97 *†	99	95 *	100	95 †	99	100	94 _{h,p}	98 _f
Progestin-only pills	na	na	91	95	87 *	95	87 †	93	100 _h	86 _{h,p}	93 _{p,f}
Extended regimen of either combined or progestin-only pills (Seasonale, Seasonique)	na	63	80 ‡	84	77 *	82	79	77	87 _h	79	85
IUD	57	63 ‡‡	70 *†,‡	83	60 *	79	63 †	69	98 _h	65 _p	72 _p
Hormonal (Mirena, Skyla)	34	58 ‡‡	67 *†,‡	80	58 *	77	60 †	66	97 _h	62 _p	68 _p
Copper (ParaGard)	52	60 ‡‡	65 *†,‡	79	54 *	76	56 †	66	98 _h	60 _p	60 _p
Implant (Nexplanon)	na	39	61 ‡	74	51 *	72	52 †	60	96 _h	54 _p	64 _{p,f}
Injectable (Depo-Provera)	96	96	95	99	93 *	99	92 †	99	100	93 _{h,p}	95 _{h,p}
Patch (Ortho Evra)	75	80 ‡‡	78	74	81 *	75	81	71	86 _h	84 _h	72 _{p,f}
Vaginal ring (NuvaRing)	40	81 ‡‡	86 *†,‡	94	81 *	92	82 †	88	97 _h	83 _p	87 _p
Female barrier method (diaphragm, cervical cap/FemCap, sponge/Today, female condom)	na	na	72	83	63 *	80	65 †	77	92 _h	68 _{h,p}	64 _{h,p}
Male condom	92	90 ‡‡	94 ‡	97	91 *	99	89 †	98	100 _h	90 _{h,p}	94 _{h,p}
Spermicide	71	65 ‡‡	64 *†	69	60 *	70	59 †	66	82 _h	61 _p	57 _p
Natural family planning instruction or supplies	54	83 ‡‡	82 *†	91	75 *	93	73 †	92	92	75 _{h,p}	76 _{h,p}
Emergency contraceptive pills (Plan B, Ella)	80	81	85 *†,‡	93	79 *	93	80 †	87	99 _h	80 _{h,p}	89 _{p,f}
Permanent methods											
Female sterilization (tubal ligation, Essure)	30	14 ‡‡	12 *†	13	11	10	14	8	6	11	23 _{h,p,f}
Vasectomy	25	7 ‡‡	9 *†	7	11	7	11	7	7	11	9
Summary measures											
At least 10 reversible methods	35	54 ‡‡	77 *†,‡	89	67 *	88	67 †	81	99 _h	71 _{h,p}	74 _p
Meets Healthy People 2020 objective of offering broad range of FDA-approved methods	48	54 ‡‡	59 *†,‡	74	48 *	72	49 †	61	93 _h	52 _{h,p}	59 _p
Any LARC method	57	66 ‡‡	75 *†,‡	88	65 *	85	67 †	77	98 _h	69 _{h,p}	76 _p
Mean no. of reversible methods offered	8.1	9.2	11.2 *†	12.1	10.5 *	12.0	10.5 †	11.4	13.3 _h	10.7 _{h,p}	11.0 _p
% reporting some methods not stocked due to cost	53	57	42 *†,‡	45	39	41	42	49	39	37 _h	43

‡‡Difference between 2003 and 2010 significant at p<.05. *†Difference between 2003 and 2015 significant at p<.05. ‡Difference between 2010 and 2015 significant at p<.05. *Difference in service focus significant at p<.05. †Difference in Title X funding significant at p<.05. h=comparison with health department significant at p<.05. p=comparison with Planned Parenthood significant at p<.05. f=comparison with FQHC significant at p<.05. Notes: na=not available. LARC=long-acting reversible contraceptive methods, which include IUDs and implants.

TABLE 3

Percentage of family planning clinics offering specific health services, by service focus, Title X funding status and clinic type, 2010 and 2015

Health service	2010		2015							
	All clinics	All clinics	Service focus		Title X funding		Type			
			Reproductive health	Primary care	Yes	No	Health dept.	Planned Parenthood	FQHC	Other
Primary (general health) care	52	63 ‡	25	93 *	38	84 †	27	13 h	96 h,p	63 h,p,f
Pregnancy testing	99	99	100	99	100	99	100	100	100	98
Chlamydia/gonorrhea screening/testing	97§	98	99	97 *	99	97	99	99	98	98
Syphilis screening/testing	97§	94 ‡	94	94	94	94	94	98 h	96	87 h,p,f
STI treatment	95	97 ‡	99	96 *	99	96 †	98	100	97 p	97
Expedited partner therapy for STIs	na	79	78	79	78	79	73	93 h	79 p	79 p
HIV testing	92	94	96	93 *	95	94	94	99 h	95 p	92 p
Pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV (PrEP)	na	37	22	48 *	26	45 †	19	25	53 h,p	32 h,f
HPV vaccination	87	90 ‡	87	93 *	90	91	94	97	95	72 h,p,f
Pap test (conventional or liquid-based)	na	95	97	93 *	97	93 †	96	96	94	94
Combined Pap and DNA testing	44	70 ‡	72	70	69	71	64	73	73 h	73 h
Colposcopy	36	37	38	37	36	39	19	57 h	43 h,p	44 h
Clinical breast exam	na	97	97	97	98	96	97	96	98	96
Mammography	na	20	15	25 *	14	25 †	16	2 h	23 h,p	28 h,p
Hepatitis B vaccination	na	81	68	91 *	77	84 †	91	43 h	91 p	58 h,p,f
Hepatitis C screening	na	77	67	86 *	67	86 †	57	90 h	90 h	73 h,p,f
Hepatitis C treatment	na	29	11	43 *	16	40 †	5	12	49 h,p	28 h,p,f
Preconception counseling	83§§	87 ‡	94	82 *	95	81 †	94	90	83 h	86 h
Provision of folic acid supplements	na	73	65	79 *	72	73	72	45 h	81 h,p	67 p,f
Prenatal care	na	41	27	52 *	29	51 †	22	5 h	60 h,p	42 h,p,f
Infertility counseling	42	49 ‡	57	42 *	60	39 †	59	41 h	43 h	50
Basic infertility testing (e.g., pelvic exam, hormone levels)	na	55	50	59 *	54	56	40	54 h	64 h	58 h
Medication abortion	8	8	13	4 *	10	6 †	1	53 h	4 h,p	8 h,p
Surgical abortion	6	4 ‡	6	2 *	4	4	0	20 h	2 h,p	7 h,p,f
Breast-feeding counseling and support	na	62	58	65 *	64	61	82	6 h	65 h,p	50 h,p,f
BMI screening	na	96	92	98 *	96	95	93	94	98 h	94 f
Screening for alcohol, tobacco or other drug use	na	93	88	98 *	90	97 †	87	85	99 h,p	94 h,p,f
Diabetes screening	72	79 ‡	60	93 *	64	91 †	49	64 h	98 h,p	85 h,p,f
Mental health screening	64	69 ‡	48	86 *	55	81 †	43	29 h	93 h,p	72 h,p,f
Vaccinations not related to reproductive health	na	79	66	89 *	74	83 †	89	21 h	88 p	65 h,p,f

‡Difference between 2010 and 2015 significant at $p < .05$. *Difference in service focus significant at $p < .05$. †Difference in Title X funding significant at $p < .05$. h=comparison with health department significant at $p < .05$. p=comparison with Planned Parenthood significant at $p < .05$. f=comparison with FQHC significant at $p < .05$. §In 2010, data on screening/testing for chlamydia, gonorrhea and syphilis were combined. §§The 2010 survey asked about preconception care rather than preconception counseling. Notes: na=not available. BMI=body mass index.

TABLE 4

Percentage of family planning clinics offering specific services addressing intimate partner violence, by service focus, Title X funding status and clinic type, 2015

Addressing intimate partner violence	2015								
	All clinics	Service focus		Title X funding		Type			
		Reproductive health	Primary care	Yes	No	Health dept.	Planned Parenthood	FQHC	Other
IPV screening	84	91	79 *	92	78 †	88	100 ^h	78 ^{h,p}	84 ^p
IPV intervention services	37	30	42 *	33	40 †	21	40 ^h	44 ^h	43 ^h
Protocols or policies for screening and/or intervention	77	88	68 *	86	70 †	80	100 ^h	70 ^{h,p}	78 ^{p,f}
At least one trained clinician able to serve as experienced resource	53	53	52	51	54 †	36	75 ^h	58 ^{h,p}	56 ^{h,p}
Staff training (e.g., on screening, intervention, state policies)	64	77	53 *	76	53 †	72	98 ^h	53 ^{h,p}	60 ^{h,p,f}

*Difference in service focus significant at $p < .05$. †Difference in Title X funding significant at $p < .05$. h=comparison with health department significant at $p < .05$. p=comparison with Planned Parenthood significant at $p < .05$. f=comparison with FQHC significant at $p < .05$.

TABLE 5

Percentage of family planning clinics using specific method-dispensing protocols for oral contraceptive pills and emergency contraceptive pills, by service focus, Title X funding status and clinic type, 2010 and 2015

Dispensing protocols	2010	2015								
	All clinics	All clinics	Service focus		Title X funding		Type			
			Reproductive health	Primary care	Yes	No	Health dept.	Planned Parenthood	FQHC	Other
Clients receive initial supply and refills on-site	63	55 ‡	72	40 *	72	40 †	76	83	34 h,p	56 h,p,f
Clients receive initial supply on-site and prescription for refills at outside pharmacy	9	9	10	9	10	9	8	8	11	9
Clients receive prescription that they fill at outside pharmacy	24	33 ‡	14	47 *	13	49 †	10	8	52 h,p	34 h,p,f
No. cycles provided and/or prescribed at initial visit:										
<6 cycles (typically 3)	72	64 ‡	62	65	68	60 †	77	31 h	64 h,p	58 h,p
6+ cycles (typically 12)	28	36 ‡	38	35	32	40 †	23	69 h	36 h,p	42 h,p
No. cycles provided and/or prescribed at refill visit:										
<6 cycles (typically 3)	31	29	32	28	29	30	25	50 h	28 p	31 p
6+ cycles (typically 12)	69	71	68	72	71	70	75	50 h	72 p	69 p
Quick-start protocol used often or sometimes	66	76 ‡	88	66 *	87	66 †	81	99 h	65 h,p	79 p,f
New clients get pills without pelvic exam often or sometimes	66	81 ‡	89	76 *	88	76 †	84	99 h	76 h,p	83 p
Pills prescribed over phone or Internet without clinic visit via telemedicine often or sometimes	na	15	11	17 *	10	19 †	6	9	20 h,p	18 h,p
Emergency contraception dispensed or prescribed ahead of time often or sometimes	42	42	53	32 *	50	35 †	36	89 h	34 p	48 h,p,f

‡Difference between 2010 and 2015 significant at p<.05. *Difference in service focus significant at p<.05. †Difference in Title X funding significant at p<.05. h=comparison with health department significant at p<.05. p=comparison with Planned Parenthood significant at p<.05. f=comparison with FQHC significant at p<.05. Note: na=not available.

TABLE 6

Percentage of family planning clinics using specific method-dispensing protocols for long-acting reversible methods among clinics offering each method, by service focus, Title X funding status and clinic type, 2015

Dispensing protocols	2015								
	All clinics	Service focus		Title X funding		Type			
		Reproductive health	Primary care	Yes	No	Health dept.	Planned Parenthood	FQHC	Other
Clinic stocks supplies and injects or inserts on-site during same appointment:									
Injectable	90	93	88 *	94	87 †	95	98	87 _{h,p}	85 _{h,p}
IUD	41	49	32 *	46	36 †	35	81 _h	30 _p	48 _{h,p,f}
Implant	51	57	43 *	54	47	43	83 _h	44 _p	54 _p
Clinic stocks supplies and injects or inserts on-site during follow-up appointment:									
Injectable	4	4	3	4	4	4	1	3	6 _p
IUD	55	46	64 *	49	61 †	58	18 _h	67 _p	47 _{p,f}
Implant	45	40	52 *	43	48	54	17 _h	52 _p	40 _{h,p}
IUDs or implants provided to adolescents and young adults often or sometimes	68	83	56 *	80	58 †	71	97 _h	57 _{h,p}	76 _{p,f}
IUDs provided to nulliparous women often or sometimes	64	75	54 *	75	54 †	65	95 _h	55 _{h,p}	67 _{p,f}
Copper IUDs provided as emergency contraception often or sometimes	25	29	23 *	26	24	14	65 _h	25 _{h,p}	26 _{h,p}

*Difference in service focus significant at $p < .05$. †Difference in Title X funding significant at $p < .05$. h=comparison with health department significant at $p < .05$. p=comparison with Planned Parenthood significant at $p < .05$. f=comparison with FQHC significant at $p < .05$.

TABLE 7

Percentage of family planning clinics offering services online by service focus, Title X funding status and clinic type, 2015

Services offered through online technology	2015								
	All clinics	Service focus		Title X funding		Type			
		Reproductive health	Primary care	Yes	No	Health dept.	Planned Parenthood	FQHC	Other
Clients schedule appointments online often or sometimes	18	19	17	19	17	3	74 ^h	20 ^{h,p}	11 ^{h,p,f}
Clients obtain initial prescription for methods online often or sometimes	3	2	4	1	4 [†]	0	1	5 ^{h,p}	2
Clients order refills for prescription methods online often or sometimes	21	12	27 [*]	16	25 [†]	4	25 ^h	32 ^h	19 ^{h,f}
Clients ask staff medical/follow-up questions online often or sometimes	24	17	29 [*]	21	26 [†]	10	22 ^h	34 ^{h,p}	22 ^{h,f}

*Difference in service focus significant at $p < .05$. †Difference in Title X funding significant at $p < .05$. h=comparison with health department significant at $p < .05$. p=comparison with Planned Parenthood significant at $p < .05$. f=comparison with FQHC significant at $p < .05$.

TABLE 8

Percentage of family planning clinics that have clients referred to them by other providers, according to the types of referral relationships and the types of providers making the referrals, by service focus, Title X funding status and clinic type, 2015

Referral type	2015								
	All clinics	Service focus		Title X funding		Type			
		Reproductive health	Primary care	Yes	No	Health dept.	Planned Parenthood	FQHC	Other
Either formal or informal									
Any (public or private) providers refer clients to clinic	94	97	91 *	96	92 †	96	97	92	92
Public providers refer clients to clinic	93	97	90 *	95	92 †	95	96	92	92
Private providers refer clients to clinic	75	86	67 *	82	70 †	81	92 h	69 h,p	73 p
Formal									
FQHCs or look-alikes	17	18	16	16	18	13	7	21 h,p	18 p
Other community clinics providing primary care	14	15	12	12	15	12	3 h	13 p	23 h,p,f
School-based health center	13	13	14	12	14	11	4 h	16 p	15 p
STI clinic	13	15	11	14	12	12	8	13	18 p
Private ob-gyns	15	14	15	11	18 †	12	5 h	18 h,p	16 p
Other private physicians/group practices	12	11	13	9	15 †	8	3	15 h,p	17 h,p
Social service agencies	24	25	23	23	24	25	5 h	26 p	24 p
Home visiting program/services	18	18	18	19	17	21	1 h	21 p	14 p,f
Informal									
FQHCs or look-alikes	44	51	38 *	50	39 †	50	62	37 h,p	43 p
Other community clinics providing primary care	56	70	43 *	68	46 †	67	86 h	45 h,p	49 h,p
School-based health center	39	44	34 *	45	34 †	40	61 h	34 p	39 p
STI clinic	44	49	39 *	50	38 †	50	69 h	37 h,p	38 h,p
Private ob-gyns	50	65	38 *	62	41 †	62	83 h	37 h,p	50 h,p,f
Other private physicians/group practices	59	69	50 *	68	51 †	69	82 h	51 h,p	51 h,p
Social service agencies	55	63	49 *	61	51 †	61	75 h	48 h,p	54 p
Home visiting program/services	42	48	38 *	47	39 †	47	47	39	40

*Difference in service focus significant at $p < .05$. †Difference in Title X funding significant at $p < .05$. h=comparison with health department significant at $p < .05$. p=comparison with Planned Parenthood significant at $p < .05$. f=comparison with FQHC significant at $p < .05$.

TABLE 9

Percentage of family planning clinics that refer clients to other providers, according to the types of referral relationships and the types of providers to which they send clients, by service focus, Title X funding status and clinic type, 2015

Referral type	2015								
	All clinics	Service focus		Title X funding		Type			
		Reproductive health	Primary care	Yes	No	Health dept.	Planned Parenthood	FQHC	Other
Either formal or informal									
Clinic refers clients to any other (public or private) providers	97	98	97	99	96 †	98	99	97	95
Clinic refers clients to other public providers	95	97	94 *	97	94 †	97	98	94 p	95
Clinic refers clients to other private providers	85	90	81 *	90	81 †	94	94	80 h,p	80 h,p
Formal									
FQHCs or look-alikes	22	22	22	22	23	21	9 h	25 p	25 p
Other community clinics providing primary care	15	19	11 *	16	14	19	8 h	9 h	23 p,f
School-based health center	8	8	8	7	9	7	2 h	10 p	9 p
STI clinic	12	12	12	12	11	11	6	13	13
Private ob-gyns	32	21	40 *	25	37 †	27	9 h	42 h,p	26 p,f
Other private physicians/group practices	25	17	32 *	21	29 †	21	11 h	34 h,p	20 f
Social service agencies	28	25	31	26	30	27	6 h	33 p	29 p
Home visiting program/services	25	19	31 *	20	30 †	21	2 h	35 h,p	20 p,f
Informal									
FQHCs or look-alikes	38	55	24 *	49	29 †	53	71 h	19 h,p	43 p,f
Other community clinics providing primary care	51	69	35 *	64	39 †	65	85 h	32 h,p	54 h,p,f
School-based health center	26	34	19 *	32	21 †	33	42	16 h,p	30 f
STI clinic	40	42	38	39	40	35	66 h	37 p	42 p
Private ob-gyns	49	65	35 *	61	38 †	63	79 h	31 h,p	51 h,p,f
Other private physicians/group practices	51	67	38 *	62	42 †	66	75	34 h,p	55 h,p,f
Social service agencies	57	64	52 *	62	53 †	61	79 h	52 h,p	54 p
Home visiting program/services	41	47	36 *	47	36 †	49	42	38 h	37 h

*Difference in service focus significant at $p < .05$. †Difference in Title X funding significant at $p < .05$. h=comparison with health department significant at $p < .05$. p=comparison with Planned Parenthood significant at $p < .05$. f=comparison with FQHC significant at $p < .05$.

TABLE 10

Average proportion of contraceptive visits made by clients covered by each insurance type, by service focus, Title X funding status, clinic type and clinic location, 2015

Client insurance coverage category [§]	2015										
	All clinics	Service focus		Title X funding		Type				Clinic located in state with any Medicaid expansion (full-benefit or family planning-specific)	
		Reproductive health	Primary care	Yes	No	Health dept.	Planned Parenthood	FQHC	Other	Yes	No
Full-benefit Medicaid or Children's Health Insurance Program	38	31	44 *	32	43 †	30	23 ^h	48 ^{h,p}	34 ^{p,f}	40	27 ‡
Family planning-specific Medicaid expansion program	16	19	13 *	17	15	17	20	13 ^{h,p}	21 ^f	18	6 ‡
Other public insurance	4	2	5 *	3	5 †	2	1	6 ^{h,p}	3 ^f	3	6
Private insurance	15	14	15	15	15	12	21 ^h	14 ^p	17 ^h	14	18 ‡
No insurance	28	33	22 *	33	23 †	39	34	19 ^{h,p}	25 ^{h,p,f}	24	43 ‡

§Numbers represent the average or mean percentage of contraceptive visits by clients who are covered by a particular insurance type and do not represent a percentage distribution of clients at all clinics nor by client payment type. *Difference in service focus significant at p<.05. †Difference in Title X funding significant at p<.05. ‡Difference in clinic location significant at p<.05. ^h=comparison with health department significant at p<.05. ^p=comparison with Planned Parenthood significant at p<.05. ^f=comparison with FQHC significant at p<.05.

TABLE 11

Percentage of family planning clinics, according to the percentage of clinics contracting with health plans, by service focus, Title X funding status and clinic type, 2010 and 2015

Health plan contracts	2010	2015								
	All clinics	All clinics	Service focus		Title X funding		Type			
			Reproductive health	Primary care	Yes	No	Health dept.	Planned Parenthood	FQHC	Other
Has one or more contracts	53	84 ‡	83	85	83	85	73	98 ^h	91 ^{h,p}	81 ^{h,p,f}
Has a Medicaid plan contract	40	80 ‡	78	81	79	80	69	89 ^h	87 ^h	76 ^{p,f}
Contraceptive/STI services only	32	75 ‡	75	76	76	75	67	89 ^h	81 ^h	70 ^{p,f}
Maternity or primary care	31	64 ‡	50	76 [*]	56	71 †	47	43	84 ^{h,p}	55 ^f
Has a private plan contract	33	73 ‡	69	76 [*]	69	76 †	54	95 ^h	84 ^{h,p}	68 ^{h,p,f}
Contraceptive/STI services only	27	66 ‡	65	67	66	66	52	94 ^h	74 ^{h,p}	59 ^{p,f}
Maternity or primary care	25	58 ‡	44	70 [*]	46	68 †	32	50 ^h	79 ^{h,p}	53 ^{h,f}

‡Difference between 2010 and 2015 significant at $p < .05$. *Difference in service focus significant at $p < .05$. †Difference in Title X funding significant at $p < .05$. ^h=comparison with health department significant at $p < .05$. ^p=comparison with Planned Parenthood significant at $p < .05$. ^f=comparison with FQHC significant at $p < .05$.